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ABSTRACT

Context: In Burkina Faso, there are young HIV-serodiscordant partners who want to get married and wish to procreate.

Objectives: The purpose of this research was: 1) to assess the sexual behaviour of young people in Burkina Faso, 2) to estimate their knowledge about the modes of HIV transmission, 3) to appreciate the cultural reasons of the desire to procreate among HIV serodiscordant couples and 4) to draw some bioethical lessons.

Methods: From April to September 2009, the survey on HIV and reproductive health in Burkina Faso carried out with 815 young people. Among them, 407 were females and 408 males (average age: 23.59 ± 2.99). They have voluntarily agreed to answer a questionnaire which gave the following results.

Results: This study shows that young people in Burkina Faso had their first sexual intercourse at 18.99 ± 2.76 years, 23.68% (193/815) were afraid to be tested for HIV, 30.92% (252/815) have not yet been tested for HIV-serostatus and 39.75% (324/815) of them have never heard of HIV vertical transmission. Despite the fact that 36.81% (300/815) are HIV negative, they would be willing to marry the beloved HIV positive person and amongst them, 28.34% (231/815) want a child even with an HIV positive person beloved.

Conclusion: So far, there is no effective vaccine against HIV. However, it is clear that Highly Active Anti-Retroviral Therapy (HAART) associated with ethical and cultural good options can contribute to reduce the spread of HIV in Sub-Saharan Africa.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays, 33 million people are leaving with HIV/AIDS in the world [1]. From 1981 to today, AIDS killed 25 million people, caused more than 15 million orphans in Africa and few million widows who are rotting in poverty [2]. In Burkina Faso, 2.5% of people aged between 15 and 24 years, 2.3% of pregnant women and 16.0% of sex workers are infected by HIV [3]. While tremendous strides have been made in improving access to HIV treatment, the epidemic continues to outpace the response [4]. In living memory, no other infectious disease has caused as much physical suffering, much moral misery and comparable death of young people as AIDS did.

With the advent of this new pathology which mainly ravages people who are of an age to procreate, grandparents find themselves taking the roles of fathers and mothers, for the children of their children who become orphans. If an adequate strategy of prevention is not implemented, if a judicious research of medicine is not carried out and efficient vaccines against HIV are not found, many generations of boys and girls, in the prime of life, will undeniably disappear in Sub-Saharan Africa, in Southeast Asia and in Latin America.

Despite HIV pandemic and its morbidity, we noticed that in Sub-Saharan Africa and particularly in Burkina Faso, many “HIV-serodiscordant” young people are in-
creasingly claiming the possibility to start a family. In addition, many HIV-serodiscordant partners wish to procreate. This strong need of these couples, also called “HIV-serodifferent”, probably results from multifaceted elements:

On the one hand, the increase in the number of HIV positives in the world and therefore the probability of meeting a HIV positive partner is very high; from the culture of some African societies, which are pronatalist and cannot allow a young girl or a young boy to live unmarried, whatever her or his physical condition is.

On the other hand, from therapeutic progresses in the treatment of HIV positives with multi antiretroviral therapies; from new technologies in reproductive biology which avoid the risks of infection through artificial insemination [5]; and from the fact that under the Highly Active Anti-Retroviral Therapy (HAART), some AIDS patients return to their professional activities, which they thought lost forever, develop projects and think with great hope about the future. Indeed, thanks to the advancement of medicine, AIDS could become, in a near future, even in Sub-Saharan Africa, just a simple chronic affection [6,7].

The present study, the objective of which is the prevention of the transmission of HIV, intends: 1) to assess the sexual behaviour of young people in Burkina Faso, 2) to estimate their knowledge about the modes of HIV transmission, 3) to appreciate the cultural reasons of the desire to procreate among HIV serodiscordant couples and 4) to draw some bioethical lessons.

2. Method

From April to September 2009, we have carried out a survey with 815 people from 18 to 32 years old who have been casually selected in public places in the city of Ouagadougou: at the markets, on the streets and at the university. These people were in average 23.59 ± 2.99 years old. Among them 407 were females and 408 males. They voluntarily accepted to answer our questionnaire about: grade level, age of first sexual intercourse, knowledge concerning prevention and transmission of HIV, desire to marry and to have children with HIV positive person.

Ethical aspects: The Ethics Committee of the Centre de Recherche Biomoléculaire Pietro ANNIGONI, CERBA and that of the Centre Médical Saint Camille approved the protocol of the study and all the young people who took part to this research have orally given their informed consent.

Data analysis: Statistical analyses were done with Epi-Info version 6 and SPSS version 12. The value of p ≤ 0.05 is considered significant.

3. Results

Table 1 shows the classification of frequencies of the 815 individuals of the sample study according to their age and gender. We obtain statistically significant differences of frequencies in the classification of males and females from 18 to 20 years old (p < 0.001) and from 24 to 26 years old (p = 0.004). There is also a statistically significant difference between the average age of females (22.93 ± 3.04) and of males (23.89 ± 2.92) p < 0.001.

Table 2 shows that with regard to illiteracy (p = 0.676), the success in secondary school junior certificate (SSC) and in the leaving certificate (LC) (p = 0.098) and the attendance of university faculties (p = 0.383), there are no statistically significant differences between the two sexes. However, as regards those who hold a primary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age groups</th>
<th>Average age</th>
<th>Total Number</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>p F→M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18 to 20</td>
<td>19.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 to 23</td>
<td>22.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>0.536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 to 26</td>
<td>24.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 to 29</td>
<td>27.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 to 32</td>
<td>31.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>815</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>0.972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age</td>
<td>23.59 ± 2.99</td>
<td></td>
<td>22.93 ± 3.04</td>
<td>23.89 ± 2.92</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X²: 18 → 20 years. p < 0.001; X²: 20 → 26 years. p = 0.004.
school certificate (PSC), there is a surprising statistically significant difference between the two sexes (p = 0.002).

According to Table 3, males state having had their first sexual intercourse (18.94 ± 3.00 years) earlier than females (19.04 ± 2.50). However, there is no statistically significant difference between the two sexes regarding the stated dates of the first sexual intercourses (p = 0.605).

Table 4 shows that the group of females has done more HIV test than males, 75.68% versus 62.50 (p < 0.001); that they know more about Preventing Mother-to-Child Transmission (PMTCT) of HIV than their husbands 63.88% versus 56.62% (p = 0.034); that with respect to marriage, they would be disposed to get married to an HIV positive 43.24% versus 30.39% (p < 0.001) and would be predisposed to beget a child with the beloved HIV positive man 33.16% versus 23.39% (p = 0.002).

Table 5 presents the knowledge of the modes of HIV transmissions according to the level of study. In this investigation, 47.03% (95/202) of those who are illiterate; 44.77% (77/172) of those who have been to primary/secondary school (SSC-LC) and 50.00% (127/254) of those who have been to University, did not fully answer the questions, showing thus that they do not clearly know the ways of HIV transmission: sexual transmissions, through parental and vertical transmissions. In addition, 3.46% (7/202) of illiterate people think that mosquito bites transmit HIV. In this table, only 35.34% (288/815) of young people of the survey think that AIDS prevention through condoms is the most trustworthy method, whereas some people think that fidelity for couples (32.88%) and abstinence for unmarried individuals (31.78%) are the best ways to avoid HIV/AIDS infection.

4. Discussion

The analyses and interpretations of the collected data show:

1) A link between ignorance of HIV transmission, traditional culture, sexual promiscuity and propagation of HIV/AIDS among young people in Burkina Faso: This study shows that the spread of the retroviral infection is caused by the ignorance of the mechanism of HIV transmission and juvenile sexual promiscuity. From the survey with the 815 young people (407 girls and 408 boys) aged between 18 and 32 years (average age: 23.59 ± 2.99) in Burkina Faso about HIV/AIDS and procreation, it follows that from now, we know that 30.9% (252/815) of these young people never did their HIV test; 39.8% (324/815) never heard about “Preventing Mother-to-Child Transmission (PMTCT) of HIV” (Table 4). However, since 2002, there is a program developed by WHO and the Ministry of Health of Burkina Faso for PMTCT [8]. The group of young people who know better of HIV vertical transmission is not that of the illiterate (35.64%), nor university graduates (26.38%) or SSC-LC group (20.93%) but the middle educated class: young people who are closer to the popular mass with no more than the primary school certificate (PSC) (46.52%) (Table 5). It is more likely that the girls who are more than 20 years old and do not continue their studies at university have already had their marital experiences and therefore are able to go to health services which address PMTCT. It can be underlined that young people of our study had their first sexual intercourses when they were 18.99 ± 2.76 years old: at 19.04 ± 2.50 for girls against 18.93 ± 3.00 for boys (P = 0.605). In contrast, individuals who have had sex before 17 years old had their first sexual intercourses at 15.55 ± 1.69 years. In this perspective, according to GUIELLA et al., 2006, the sexual life of the Burkinabe starts, for the majority of them, during adolescence, because 27% of girls and 22% of boys between 12 and 19 years of age, in their lives, had sexual intercourses [9,10]. Indeed, during our study, some boys were very proud to say that they had their first intercourses when they were 8 or at 11. According to GUIELLA and collaborators (2006), the proportion of sexually active young people would increase rapidly with age and would
Table 3. Classification by gender and age at first sexual intercourse.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Average Age</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>p Female → Male</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X &lt; 17 years</td>
<td>15.55 ± 1.69</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>102/211</td>
<td>109/211</td>
<td>0.628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17 to 22 years</td>
<td>19.36 ± 1.07</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>254/448</td>
<td>234/448</td>
<td>0.365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X &gt; 22 years</td>
<td>23.32 ± 1.51</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>51/116</td>
<td>65/116</td>
<td>0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18.99 ± 2.76</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>407/815</td>
<td>408/815</td>
<td>0.972</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

First sexual intercourse year: 19.04 ± 2.50 for females, 18.94 ± 3.00 for males, p = 0.605

Table 4. Knowledge about HIV/AIDS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answers: Yes or No</th>
<th>407 Female</th>
<th>408 Male</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fear for the test</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>75.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV Test</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>24.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results of HIV Test</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>87.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Know about PMTCT</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>36.12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiancé with HIV+</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>56.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV Procreation</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>66.83%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY = Female yes; MY = Male yes.

Table 5. Knowledge of HIV/AIDS prevention and transmission.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HIV AFC prevention</th>
<th>Mode of HIV transmission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abstinence</td>
<td>Fidelity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiteracy</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSC</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSC-LC</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>815</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AFC = Abstinence, Fidelity, Condom; PSC = Primary School Certificate; SSC-LC = Secondary School junior Certificate- Leaving Certificate; Mtct = Mother-to-child-transmission; Pa = HIV Parental Transmission.

significantly increase with 14 years old youths. The author found in his study that more than 7% of girls of 20 to 24 years old stated having had their first sexual intercourses before 15 years of age; 62% before 18 years and 83% before 20 years of age[10]. Whereas with boys of the same age group, there are 2.5% who declared having had sexual intercourses before 15 years of age; 32% before 18 years and 57% before 20 years of age [11,12]. Poverty and the social status of adolescent boys, and more so for adolescent girls, can expose them to very
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unequal or even constrained sexual relationships. Therefore, these early and frequent sexual intercourses before marriage could account for the high prevalence of HIV infection in Burkina Faso [13].

AIDS epidemic spreads through the weak bonds of societies. It develops where some backward sexual customs are maintained; where access to information, to prevention, economic autonomy and respect of human rights are not guaranteed for all. In Burkina Faso, a lot of traditional practices favored the propagation of HIV. Among the causes of HIV infection, we can mention polygamy, forced marriage, levirate and sororate marriage. Indeed, these three traditions are socio-cultural realities that are still practiced in some ethnic groups in Burkina Faso. One can find, in villages and in big cities, men who get married to many women (two, three, even four or six, according to their social rank and their economic capabilities). Therefore, one can find young girls of 17 years old who become the sixth or eighth spouse of a 70 years old rich man or chieftain. According to the traditional law of the Moose, a gerontocratic patrilineal ethnic group in Burkina Faso, it is the head of lineage (head of family, biüdu-kasma) or of a segment of lineage, who decides marital unions. Marriage is after all a covenant between two lineages. In this perspective, “marital relationships result from social and political strategies, the making and implementation of which are not opened to the participation of young men and young women” [14].

Thus, social pressure is often so strong in these societies that it does not leave a place to individual freedom and compels young people to dangerous options, such as getting married, no matter what, despite one’s serious pathology or accepting principles of levirate, taking as wife the spouse of a brother who died from AIDS.

Paradoxically, according to Bernard Taverne, the Moose traditional law is fundamentally unequal in its distribution of rights and duties according to gender. It makes a very clear sexual distinction regarding fidelity between husband and wife: the wife has the duty to strictly remain faithful, in comparison to her man who has the right to extramarital sexual intercourses. Besides, the wife has the duty to tolerate extramarital intercourses of her spouse [15]. From this perspective, the duty of women to be faithful is strongly related to the principles of patrilinearity and of “lineage fertilization” which are the foundations of the social structure of the Moose [16]. The child is the property of the lineage of the husband, whereas the wife who is considered within the lineage as a stranger, would be the receiver of a vital principle transmitted through agnatic bond by the ancestors, through the father: the “Sigré” [17]. Thus, female marital fidelity is fundamental in order to control fertilization and the extension of the family (“biüdu”) of the husband. Transgression of the law of marital fidelity by the wife is considered as a serious act which should be punished not only by the husband’s family, but also by his ancestors.

2) Marriage between HIV serodifferent persons and the problematic of HIV transmission: In this research, 36.80% (300/815) of young people, although they are HIV negative would accept to get married to the beloved HIV positive person. There is statistically speaking a significant difference between the two sexes: 43.24% of girls and 30.39% of boys would accept marriage in the case of serodifference (p < 0.001) (Table 4). This observation could be explained by the fact that, as our study shows it, some young people still ignore the modes of HIV infection and think that AIDS is a disease caused by mosquito bites or by sorcerers [18].

3) Culture and HIV serodifferent partners’ need for a child: In this investigation, 28.34% (231/815) of young people would accept to have a child with a HIV positive spouse: in the case of serodifference, 33.16% of girls against 23.53% of boys would accept to have a child in their couple (p = 0.002) (See Table 4). Despite the fact that girls know more of the principles of Mother-to-Child Transmission (63.88%) than boys (56.62%) (p = 0.034), they would accept more freely, because of cultural reasons, to get married, even with a HIV positive spouse, in order to be fulfilled as women through procreation. Indeed, in Burkina Faso, many cultural principles make young girls and young boys get married, no matter what, in order to have children.

In some cultures, a single woman, free, without a husband and a child cannot be allowed. Every woman should get married and procreate. A girl without a husband is considered to be either a child or an easy woman who is open to any proposal. If she does not find her fulfillment as a mother, she would be viewed as a sterile woman, with all the contempt that this involves.

A boy should get married at a certain age, otherwise he could never be part of the group of adults and deserve having funerals after his death. As a single man, he will be considered to be like a child. These facts would explain in part that there is a strong need to get married no matter what, and then have progenitors. In this perspective, a married man, if he is not sexually active and if he is not able to have a child, cannot avoid having affairs with another woman outside the conjugal family to try his chance. It is difficult to make such a person understand the dangers of sexual promiscuity. That man, who experiences a kind of social shame because he did not procreate, finds necessary to try his chance with many women, even with strangers. In these situations, it becomes very difficult to stop the propagation of AIDS.
because of that obsession for procreation, and the blatant ignorance of the modes of transmission of HIV [19].

Everything being considered, generally speaking, we see that the need for a child in serodifferent couples clearly exists today in African societies where the different tribes or ethnic groups have a pronatalist culture.

In Africa, more than half of HIV positive persons have access to anti-retroviral medicines. Therefore, patients who are not under ARV treatment, and who see themselves losing their forces, develop an instinct for procreation and put into contribution their remaining energy to perpetuate their name through the life they will bring about in the child.

There is no doubt that there are multiple dangers of infections which threaten HIV serodifferent couples. The fundamental question which follows from that is this: how do we make these individuals who are infected by the virus understand the highly dangerous problematic of the sexual infection and the vertical transmission of HIV?

With respect to procreation, certain scholars are for non protected sexual intercourses in HIV serodiscordant couples who have the chance to be under ARV treatment and whose “viremy” cannot be detected. According to doctor VERNAZZA, a specialist on HIV sexual transmission, the risk of transmission in that context during non protected sexual intercourse is “very low”, around one out of one million sexual intercourses [20].

For Professor Patrick YENI, at present, the practice of non protected sexual intercourses between serodiscordant couples cannot in any case be recommended in natural conception, because of the risk of contamination that it involves [21].

According to professor Lino CICCONE, objectively, non protected sexual intercourse in a serodiscordant couple, even for the purpose of procreation, is tantamount to an attempt to the life of the healthy spouse. For him, every time a case is sent to court because of HIV transmission in a serodiscordant couple, judges always condemn the infected spouse for a homicide/murder attempt [21]. In the light of these condemnations from courts, CICCONE states that we cannot severely judge these types of behaviour as irresponsible. Thus, it is actually a pity that through a gesture of conjugal love, through a sentiment full of interpersonal love communion, such as the practice of “procreation”, an act of murder is committed which irrevocably leads to the slow physiological degradation of the beloved person, then to his/her death [22] from AIDS.

In Sub-Saharan Africa, intra uterine artificial insemination of treated spermatozoids of HIV positive man and that of ICSI (Intra Cytoplasmic Injection of Spermatozoids) are not yet developed in order to enable HIV serodiscordant couples to procreate. However, in the case in which the man is HIV negative and the woman HIV positive, it would exist self insemination which is easy to do and does not have any risk for the couple. Nonetheless, this technique does not eliminate/prevent mother-to-child HIV transmission.

5. Conclusions

This study demonstrated that many young people in Burkina Faso have never been tested for HIV. They have their first sexual intercourse early; they ignore the transmission modes and types of HIV prevention. Many young people, due to obsolete traditions, without precaution, want to marry the HIV positive person beloved even desiring to have children with him/her.

In spite of the fact that HIV serodiscordant couples are aware of the risks related to HIV/AIDS, they remain attached to their aspirations to procreate [23]. The extent of this pandemic requires therefore of tradition bearers (resource persons of ancestral traditions), researchers, health professionals, public health decision-makers, international organizations and religious leaders, to coordinate their education strategies and their actions. Until now, there is no zero risk in biomedical sciences. For this reason, the following questions come to my mind: do we have to give birth to a child even if the child was to become orphan, HIV positive and morbid? In developing countries, where AIDS is raging, because less that 50% of the patients have access to antiretroviral medicine: do we stigmatize and discriminate HIV positive people by refusing to celebrate their marriage [24]? Can we advise them to get married but abstain to procreate? Any person of good will and of common sense cannot avoid these multiple vital questions about human relations and marital bonds related to HIV serodiscordant couples or ignore the concerns regarding assisted medical procreation (AMP) for HIV serodifferent couples. There is, indeed, a need for further pluridisciplinary thought to be given to the issue, in order to avoid leading engaged or serodiscordant couples to make a bad choice which, later, will condemn them or put the lives of other people in danger.

In the current context, any HIV positive person should live his/her situation with responsibility by being concerned for the good of his/her partner because, for the moment, the discovery of a vaccine or an efficient treatment seems implausible in a near future. And through this stressing search for solutions to the pandemic, only wise and just options, from ethical, cultural and biomedical points of view, can enable the human species to stand together and collectively initiate a new battle to maintain and protect our common humanity, by devel-
opining in us a more responsible behavior.
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